

SECRET

ABSTRACT OF DOCUMENT BEING CROSS FILED			CROSS FILED BY
FILE NO. Lon-X-2-Pts-8 Folder #2 (13)	SOURCE RI/AR	DATE OF DOCUMENT 28 June 1945	ANALYST [ ]
SUBJECT  O/Gruf KALTENBRUNNER, Ernest			13 June 1955
PERTINENT INFORMATION			
Intermediate Interrogation Report (IIR)			
THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS QUOTED VERBATIM			
<p>Page 2.</p> <p>Within Amt VI, Referat VI-E, which dealt with South-Eastern Europe, was his principal love; and nothing in the interrogation shows that he had extensive knowledge of the other parts of Amt VI, which SCHELLENBERG controlled more directly. ....</p> <p>.....</p> <p>Of the Amts Chefs in the RSHA, OHLENDORF of Amt III was most frequently with him; between K. and SCHELLENBERG of Amt VI there existed cool but correct relations.</p>			
<p>Page 3.</p> <p>.....</p> <p>Not only were the police activities run separately from the intelligence side, but intelligence itself was divided between himself and SCHELLENBERG; and this in addition to the confusion already existing in the handling of military intelligence by the Mil Amt, and in the rivalry with information services of the Auswaeriges Amt and the Auslands Organization der NSDAP. ....</p>			
<p>Page 7.</p> <p>30 or 31. March</p> <p>Meeting at KALTENBRUNNER'S evacuation quarters at GEFELL nr HOF.</p> <p>Present: O/Gruf WOLFF, SCHELLENBERG, PAEFFGEN, SCHEIDLER. ....</p> <p>1st wk in April</p> <p>In Austria, setting up police cordons to reorganize fleeing troops and to sift refugees. Back to BERLIN-WANNSEE, where he saw SCHELLENBERG, PANZINGER and OHLENDORF for the last time.</p>			
<p>Page 21.</p> <p>1a. KALTENBRUNNER'S Interest in Amt VI</p> <p>Political questions had always attracted K. and he found scope in Amt VI to pursue his main interests. Although relations with SCHELLENBERG were not of the best (for details see para 3 below), and although SCHELLENBERG had the privilege of reporting direct to HIMMLER,</p>			
(continued)			
CROSS REFERENCE FORM			FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.
SECRET			2 7

SECRET

ABSTRACT OF DOCUMENT BEING CROSS FILED			CROSS FILED BY
FILE NO.	SOURCE	DATE OF DOCUMENT	ANALYST
SUBJECT			DATE

PERTINENT INFORMATION

(continued)

K. feels that he had not lost control of the activities of the Amt. Amt VI was by no means independent of the RSHA. K., the Austrian, was particularly concerned with the Balkans in contrast to SCHELLENBERG whose main interest lay in Sweden and Switzerland. K. says that his interest in Amt VI did not extend to Mil Amt and he claims almost total ignorance.

.....  
3a. SCHELLENBERG

SCHELLENBERG represented the HEYDRICH tradition and HIMMLER valued his political advice. K.'s relations with SCHELLENBERG on the other hand, were cool but correct. He was insatiably ambitious and jealous of K., into whose shoes he undoubtedly hoped to step. This did not worry K. as he considered SCHELLENBERG a poor politician. SCHELLENBERG'S jealousy and suspicion were best illustrated when K. tried to appoint KNOCHEN inspector of the KdMs under Amt VI, an attempt which SCHELLENBERG opposed so violently that it had to be dropped.

SCHELLENBERG admired the British Secret Service and wanted to copy it. K. was impressed with his ability to procure information abroad. He was not interested in the Balkan countries, and all reports addressed to SCHELLENBERG went directly to K.

K. saw SCHELLENBERG last at BERLIN-WANSEE about 3 April. He remained in BERLIN on HIMMLER'S orders when Amt VI moved South.

3d. ....  
K. left it to SCHELLENBERG to settle the dispute. ....

3e. ....  
SCHELLENBERG'S chief representative in Switzerland. ....

3m. .... SKORZENY received his instructions either from SCHELLENBERG or, as in the case of the MUSSOLINI rescue, from the Fuehrer. ....

Page 26.

1. .... K. thinks that SCHELLENBERG may have had similar ideas. ....

2. ....  
SCHELLENBERG tried to improve the system and so did Ast HAMBURG, but K. remembers only two sets of agents sent to the US by U-boat, both of

(continued)

CROSS REFERENCE FORM	FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.
	C J

ABSTRACT OF DOCUMENT BEING CROSS FILED			CROSS FILED BY
FILE NO.	SOURCE	DATE OF DOCUMENT	ANALYST
SUBJECT			DATE

PERTINENT INFORMATION

(continued)

which were picked up.

.....  
 3a. SHELLENBERG'S main contact in Switzerland was Col MASSON who furnished general intelligence and from whom SHELLENBERG also hoped to obtain political material. MASSON'S reports were given orally to SHELLENBERG. K. did not trust MASSON and feared that he did better work for the Western Allies than for Germany. SHELLENBERG, apparently, had a good deal of faith in MASSON, although K. explained to him that the Swiss by necessity had to cooperate with the winning side.

3b. Agent T-100

This agent worked for SHELLENBERG for a long time, and despite meager results SHELLENBERG continued to reward him with the highest pay of any agent of Amt VI, about 3,000 to 4,000 Swiss francs per month. .... KALTENBRUNNER thought that T-100 worked also for the Japanese or the Russians and SHELLENBERG did not deny this possibility as far as the Japanese were concerned.

.....  
 T-100 was lazy. KALTENBRUNNER saw only four short reports from him during the last 12 months. It is, however, possible that SHELLENBERG took some reports directly to HIMMLER without ever showing them to KALTENBRUNNER.

4. Sweden

FINKE (or FINK) was SHELLENBERG'S best agent in Sweden and he continued to furnish good material up to the last few months. KALTENBRUNNER does not know who worked under FINKE. ....

18. KNOCHEN

..... KALTENBRUNNER hoped that KNOCHEN would be acceptable to SHELLENBERG and that through KNOCHEN he would be able to obtain a better insight into SHELLENBERG'S work. However, SHELLENBERG refused to accept the appointment and KNOCHEN, after a short time at Amt I, became WINKELMANN'S liaison officer at the headquarters of Army Group RENDULIC in Hungary.

Page 41.

1. First Visit (February 1945)

Late in Feb 45 SHELLENBERG received word that Count BERNADOTTE, President of the Swedish Red Cross, planned to visit Berlin.

(continued)

THE SUBJ. OF THIS DOCUMENT IS PERTINENT <b>CROSS REFERENCE FORM</b>	FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.		
		C 7	

SECRET

ABSTRACT OF DOCUMENT BEING CROSS FILED			CROSS FILED BY
FILE NO.	SOURCE	DATE OF DOCUMENT	ANALYST
SUBJECT			DATE

**PERTINENT INFORMATION**

(continued)

He reported this to K. and K. invited him for lunch at BERLIN-WANNSEE about 25 Feb. BERNADOTTE, KALTENBRUNNER, SCHELLENBERG, and possibly PAEFFGEN were present.

.....  
 KALTENBRUNNER'S final impression was that BERNADOTTE would have liked for the Germans to use him for peace negotiations. He regretted that he could not talk to BERNADOTTE at this meeting about his own ideas and his plans for Austria. However, SCHELLENBERG'S presence and the fact that BERNADOTTE was to see HIMMLER made it quite impossible.

The following day, after seeing RIBBENTROP, BERNADOTTE drove with SCHLLENBERG to HOHENLYCHEN, where he spent about five hours with HIMMLER. SCHLLENBERG, who was not present during the main part of the conversation, reported that the talk had been very cordial. When he tried to find out what had been discussed, BERNADOTTE stopped him by saying: I'd like you to give me a half hour's time to think over my conversation with HIMMLER. I was very much impressed and should like to reconstruct all its phases in my mind. I should not want to forget any part of it. Later he added: "I am very glad I met HIMMLER. It is always good to hear both sides."

.....  
 2. Second visit(March 1945)

About two weeks after his first visit, BERNADOTTE returned to BERLIN and invited K. and SCHELLENBERG to lunch. He thanked them for their help in carrying out his requests and discussed details of the BERGEN-BELSEN camp for Scandinavian prisoners.....

3. ....

K. later heard from SCHELLENBERG (who had received a letter from his contact man FRANK in Switzerland) that BURCKHARDT had spoken to DULLES about the meeting and had expressed himself very favorably about K.'s personality and ideas. K. was very happy to learn about this positive reaction on BUCKHARDT'S part. However, since SCHELLENBERG was not supposed to know anything about the DULLES affair, K. was not too pleased to get the story from him. K. suspects that FRANK'S letter was actually addressed to him, and that SCHELLENBERG failed to give it to him because he wanted to keep it as evidence against K.

THIS IS ALL THE PERTINENT  
 TO THE SUBJECT OF THIS DOCUMENT

(continued)

<b>CROSS REFERENCE FORM</b>	<b>SECRET</b>	FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.
		<div style="display: inline-block; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">C</div> <div style="display: inline-block; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-left: 20px;">2</div>

ABSTRACT OF DOCUMENT BEING CROSS FILED			CROSS FILED BY	
FILE NO.	SOURCE	DATE OF DOCUMENT	ANALYST	
SUBJECT			DATE	
<p>PERTINENT INFORMATION</p> <p>(continued)</p> <p>Page 47.</p> <p>KALTENBRUNNER says that the Japanese gave very little intelligence information to the Germans. Occasionally they would give <u>SCHELLENBERG</u> a report on the United States, but generally speaking they appeared very poorly informed about both Britain and the United States.....</p> <p>..... <u>SCHELLENBERG</u> blamed this on the fact that much of the Japanese intelligence personnel, including SATO(?) the most important intelligence man, had moved to Switzerland in Nov.44.</p> <p>.....</p> <p>Page 49.</p> <p>KALTENBRUNNER heard about the contact from <u>SCHELLENBERG</u> who had been interested in the intelligence side of the affair..... In connection with the second attempt, about which <u>SCHELLENBERG</u> was also informed though he had no part in it, KALTENBRUNNER remembers the name DEKANASON who, he thinks was a secretary in the People's Commissariat for Foreign Affairs.</p> <p>THIS IS ALL THE INFORMATION PERTINENT TO THE SUBJECT THIS DOCUMENT.</p>				
CROSS REFERENCE FORM			FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.	
SECRET			C J	